Intrusion and Collapse: How Deferred Contact Becomes Debt in Psyche and Society

Vladisav Jovanović
(also published as Vladisav Jovanović; Vladisav Jovanovic)
ORCID: 0009-0001-1399-2243

Abstract. Structural Intelligence (SI) distinguishes coherence from contact and treats collapse as forced contact: the moment reality becomes non-optional because substitution can no longer be sustained. This paper formalizes a bridge thesis that connects individual "possession-like" phenomena (intrusion) to collapse mechanics across personal and societal scales. Intrusion is defined as occupancy of steering by a competing loop, operationalized through an action-selection mixture with time-local occupancy λ_t and time-averaged load I. Structural Debt D_s is introduced as the conserved remainder of refused contact created by substitution, and a maintenance inflation principle is added: debt is not static; the metabolic cost required to suppress returning contact rises over time, accelerating collapse. Jungian complex psychology is translated into these dynamics: a complex is an intrusion-capable structure that can seize steering under activation; the shadow is treated as the ledger of refused contact, the storage facility of D_s. The same mechanics scale to societies through two macro parameters: network viscosity V (resistance to ungrounded narrative propagation) and epistemic exchange rate E_x (cost of generating plausible coherence relative to verifying contact). A phase transition is proposed: when verification becomes a survival disadvantage, systems enter a hallucination death spiral where theater is the only affordable stabilization. The paper closes with diagnostics, falsifiers, and falsifiable predictions.

Keywords: structural intelligence; collapse; intrusion; occupancy; contact; answerability; structural debt; maintenance inflation; Jung; complex; shadow; projection; scapegoating; viscosity; epistemic exchange rate; grid; hallucination regime

Reader Map

The argument of this paper is intentionally simple, even if the vocabulary is technical. A system receives contact (constraint, contradiction, consequence). If it meets contact early, it can revise under cost and remain stable. If it substitutes contact with coherence, it stays stable for a while, but it is borrowing against reality. That borrowing is structural debt D_s. Debt is not passive: suppressing the returning signal becomes more expensive over time (maintenance inflation), which raises collapse risk. Intrusion is the steering mechanism that often enables this deferral: an activated complex-like loop takes occupancy λ_t, generates replay/prosecution, and stabilizes coherence by

refusing contact. When debt exceeds capacity, collapse arrives as forced contact. The same pipeline scales to societies when the environment makes theater cheaper than verification.

1 Introduction

SI names a core asymmetry of modern systems: coherence is cheap; contact is expensive. A person, institution, or society can become highly intelligible while becoming less corrigible, less bound to consequence, and less willing to revise under constraint. Collapse is the moment that this imbalance is no longer sustainable.

A second, often overlooked mechanism is the phenomenon that many people describe as "possession-like": compulsive replay, prosecution, projection pressure, and identity fog that persist even after insight has formed. Rather than treating this as merely a story, a mood, or a metaphysical claim, this paper treats it as a control allocation condition: a competing loop occupies steering. The bridge claim is strict.

Bridge thesis. Contact refused does not disappear. It becomes debt. Debt carries interest (maintenance inflation). When debt exceeds containment and repair capacity, collapse arrives as forced contact. Intrusion is one of the main stabilization strategies that converts contact into debt by occupying steering.

Scope and relation to the SI corpus. This paper is intentionally narrow. It does not re-present the full SI architecture, presence equation, or frictional interface standards. Its purpose is to supply a conserved collapse pipeline that can be referenced by other SI papers.

2 Definitions and notation

Core terms

- **Coherence** (K): internal fit and narrative intelligibility.
- **Contact** (C): constraint-bearing coupling to reality (correction pressure, consequence, repair friction).
- **Answerability** (Ans): corrigibility under constraint; obligation and capacity to revise.
- **Collapse**: forced contact; correction becomes non-optional because substitution can no longer be sustained.

Bridge variables

- **Intrusion** (I): foreign agency load; degree of occupancy of steering by a competing loop.
- **Occupancy** (λ_t): time-local share of action selection dominated by intrusive policy at time t.
- **Structural Debt** (D_s): conserved remainder of refused contact created by substitution.
- **Maintenance inflation**: growth of the cost required to suppress returning contact as D_s accumulates.
- **Pilot** (ω): metacontrol access; interrupt authority and capacity to bind revision.
- **Somatic capacity** (C_{cap}): organism bandwidth to metabolize contact while keeping ω online.

Macro parameters

- **Network viscosity** (V): resistance to propagation of ungrounded narrative.
- **Epistemic exchange rate** (E_x): cost to generate plausible coherence divided by cost to verify in contact.

Hardware / compute constraint (H)

To complete the human–AI analogy, H denotes processing capacity: the available compute (for AI) or cognitive-metabolic bandwidth (for humans) to integrate contact. When effective contact complexity exceeds H, systems default to cheap coherence as an approximation strategy, unless invariants, binding requirements, or friction force revision.

Consolidated variable table

Symbol	Term	Functional role
C	Contact	Constraint-bearing reality pressure that requires revision
ω	Pilot	Interrupt authority; steering access and binding capacity
λ_t	Occupancy	Time-local steering share by intrusive loop
I	Intrusion	Time-averaged occupancy / foreign agency load
D_s	Structural Debt	Conserved remainder of refused contact
C_{cap}	Somatic Capacity	Bandwidth to metabolize contact without cockpit loss
V	Network Viscosity	Resistance to ungrounded narrative propagation
E_x	Exchange Rate	Cost of coherence relative to cost of verification
H	Hardware / Compute	Processing capacity to integrate contact complexity

3 Collapse as forced contact

Collapse is defined structurally: a correction event where reality becomes non-negotiable because substitution can no longer be maintained. This can appear as breakdown, burnout, rupture, institutional failure, financial crisis, legitimacy collapse, or civilizational stress-test failure. The phenomenology differs, but the structural pattern is the same: an accumulated bill becomes due.

In SI terms, collapse is not merely dysfunction; it is the return of contact. When contact is met early, revision is possible. When contact is refused, coherence can remain intact for a time, but only by accumulating debt and paying increasing maintenance costs to preserve the refusal. Collapse is what happens when the system loses the option to keep paying for refusal.

4 Intrusion as occupancy of steering

Intrusion is treated as a control condition rather than a metaphysical claim. It is defined by steering allocation: what selects action when the system is activated.

4.1 Occupancy mixture model

Let the system have two candidate controllers:

- π_s: a revision-oriented policy (repair, re-entry, binding).
- π_i: an intrusion-oriented policy (replay, prosecution, projection, loop survival).

Executed action selection is modeled as a mixture:

$$u_t = (1 - \lambda_t)\, \pi_s(\cdot) + \lambda_t\, \pi_i(\cdot)$$

Intrusion as time-averaged occupancy:

$$I = \mathbb{E}[\lambda_t]$$

Assume $\lambda_t \in [0, 1]$ and $I \in [0, 1]$ over a specified time window.

4.2 Why intrusion stabilizes short-term

Intrusion often stabilizes a threatened system because it supplies cheap coherence and mobilization:

- **Causal closure**: a villain, a courtroom narrative, a single agent to prosecute.
- **Energy**: conflict sustains orientation and reduces felt helplessness.
- **Attachment-through-conflict**: the bond persists via rehearsal and hate.
- **Identity defense**: shame and grief are avoided because they threaten self-structure.

Intrusion is therefore not random noise; it is a stabilization strategy that converts contact into manageable narrative output at the cost of deferred correction.

5 Structural Debt: the conserved remainder of refused contact

5.1 Definition

Structural Debt D_s is the conserved remainder of refused contact created by substitution. When a No is bypassed by narrative, persona, or prosecution, the obligation to revise does not vanish. It is stored as debt.

Debt here is not metaphorical. It is a conservation claim: avoided correction reappears as future cost, either internally (collapse) or externally (export).

5.2 Debt accumulation

Debt increases when coherence substitutes for contact. Typical substitution moves include:

- explanation replacing repair
- performance replacing consequence payment
- projection replacing ownership
- moral theater replacing revision
- "closure" replacing falsifier exposure

These moves preserve coherence while weakening corrigibility, causing debt to accumulate.

5.3 Maintenance inflation (debt interest)

Structural Debt is subject to maintenance inflation: the more reality is avoided, the higher the metabolic cost required to suppress the returning signal, producing a non-linear acceleration toward collapse. In practice, the "pose" becomes expensive: what used to be suppressed with mild effort now requires sustained vigilance, stronger defenses, thicker narrative, more projection, or more coercion. This rising cost is a diagnostic signature: it indicates that contact is returning and the system is paying to keep it refused.

5.4 Debt payment and collapse

Debt is paid in two ways:

- **Repair and binding**: voluntary revision under cost that changes future behavior.
- **Forced contact**: collapse, consequence collection, exposure, rupture.

Collapse is debt collection by reality: the moment the system loses the option to keep substituting.

5.5 Debt export: a structural definition of evil

In SI terms, "evil" can be defined without metaphysics: it is non-consensual export of structural debt. The system routes its avoided bill into others (targets, minorities, scapegoats, subordinates, the future, ecosystems). This definition is action-level: it describes cost routing, not essence.

6 Somatic gating: when contact exceeds capacity

Collapse dynamics are not only cognitive. They are metabolic.

6.1 Somatic capacity

Somatic capacity C_{cap} is the organism's bandwidth to metabolize contact while keeping pilot access ω online. Systems differ in C_{cap} due to biology, developmental history, sleep, nutrition, trauma load, and current stress.

6.2 Circuit breaker law

When incoming contact exceeds C_{cap}, ω collapses and substitution spikes. This is not primarily moral failure; it is circuit protection.

The unprocessed portion of contact is defined as:

$$C_{\text{unprocessed}} = \max(0,\ C - C_{\text{cap}})$$

Conservation clause: unprocessed contact becomes debt in real time. As $C_{\text{unprocessed}}$ rises, ΔD_s increases. Overload therefore produces debt, and debt later returns as forced contact, maintenance inflation, projection, or collapse.

7 Jungian complexes as intrusion-capable structures

Jungian complex psychology describes semi-autonomous organizations of affect and meaning that can seize attention, distort perception, and compel behavior. In experience, this can resemble "possession." In this paper, possession language is treated as occupancy: a complex is an intrusion-capable controller that can increase λ_t under activation.

7.1 Complex as controller

A complex can be understood as a learned subpolicy with its own objective function: preserve attachment, preserve identity, preserve safety through prosecution, avoid shame, avoid loss. When triggered, it takes steering and reduces pilot access.

7.2 Shadow as the ledger of D_s

The shadow is not simply "bad traits." It is the ledger of refused contact: the storage facility for D_s created by what could not be integrated (see Section 6 for the accumulation mechanic under overload). When somatic capacity is exhausted and pilot access is compromised, the ledger returns through compulsion, projection, and prosecution. In occupancy terms, the shadow complex can seize steering (high λ_t) to defend the debt structure.

7.3 Integration as cure

Integration is not merely insight. It is a structural event in which the complex loses steering priority because contact can be held and revision can bind. Practically, integration requires:

- differentiation (observing the loop without merging with it)
- containment (stability margin so contact does not overwhelm)
- extraction or integration (keep the signal, drop the strategy)
- binding (revision that leaves trace and survives time)
- re-entry (ordinary-life coupling so change is not session-bound)

8 Societal scaling: viscosity, exchange rates, and phase transition

The same mechanics scale to societies. Collective attention and policy can be steered by self-sustaining loops that resist correction. These loops generate coherence-theater cheaply and export debt through scapegoating, propaganda, and externalities.

8.1 Network viscosity V

Network viscosity is resistance to the spread of ungrounded narrative. Low V environments allow near-zero-cost propagation: speed and certainty dominate, correction becomes too slow, and theater outcompetes truth.

8.2 Epistemic exchange rate E_x

$$E_x = \frac{\text{cost to generate plausible coherence}}{\text{cost to verify in contact}}$$

When E_x is high, coherence floods faster than verification capacity, selecting for systems that perform certainty and punish falsifiers.

8.3 Phase transition: hallucination death spiral

Societal collapse can undergo a phase transition when verification becomes a survival disadvantage: the total energy required to verify exceeds the system's available budget. At that threshold, the system enters a hallucination death spiral in which theater is the only affordable stabilization and answerability becomes structurally punished. The key point is not that truth disappears, but that truth becomes unaffordable at scale under time pressure, causing substitution to become the default.

8.4 Collapse signatures

Approaching collapse, systems show:

- maintenance inflation (rising cost to maintain legitimacy)
- projection as governance (scapegoats required for stability)
- substitution normalization (metrics and narrative replacing repair)
- thinning of answerability (correction becomes punishable)
- debt export (cost pushed outward and forward)
- refusal of falsifiers (no conditions under which error is admitted)

9 Diagnostics, falsifiers, and predictions

This section states observable signatures and testable predictions implied by the bridge thesis.

9.1 Diagnostics

- **Intrusion diagnostics:** non-consensual recurrence; prosecution energy; projection pressure; identity fog; inability to sustain revision without re-looping; prolonged high occupancy λ_t.
- **Debt diagnostics:** maintenance inflation; repeated consequence recurrence; story updates without behavioral change; scapegoating; cost externalization.
- **Somatic gating diagnostics:** thresholded shutdown or flooding under contact; reduced pilot access; recovery time to baseline.
- **Societal diagnostics:** collapsing viscosity; rising exchange rate; penalization of falsifiers; theater dominance; scapegoat dependence.

9.2 Falsifiers

A claim is answerable only if it can name what would disconfirm it and what revision would follow. Where falsifiers cannot be named, coherence is being traded for contact.

9.3 Predictions

- **Rising D_s predicts maintenance inflation** and eventual forced contact (collapse) unless repair and binding occur.
- **When $C > C_{\text{cap}}$, pilot access drops** and substitution increases, raising occupancy λ_t and increasing debt accumulation.
- **Low-viscosity environments select for theater** and will punish falsifiers, increasing collapse probability under stress tests.
- **A reduction in maintenance inflation is a leading indicator of repair** (debt repayment) rather than narrative substitution.

10 Conclusion

Collapse is forced contact; intrusion is a deferral strategy that converts contact into debt by occupying steering. Debt is conserved and carries maintenance inflation: the cost of suppressing returning contact rises over time, accelerating collapse. Somatic capacity gates whether contact can be metabolized while the pilot remains online; when capacity is exceeded, unprocessed contact is converted into debt in real time. Jungian complexes describe the same structure in depth-psychological language: an intrusion-capable controller can seize steering, while the shadow functions as the ledger of refused contact.

At societal scale, low network viscosity and high epistemic exchange rates select for coherence-theater until a phase transition occurs in which verification becomes a survival disadvantage and a hallucination death spiral becomes the only affordable stabilization. The practical implication is structural: sovereignty begins where the system stops exporting its bill and becomes able to meet its own debt through correction that binds.

References

Jovanović, V. *Structural Dynamics: A Systems Theory of Sovereignty and Answerability Under Pressure.*

Jovanović, V. *The Great Standardization: Genealogy of the Grid: Metrics, Platforms, and the Thinning of Answerability.*

Jovanović, V. *Collapse as the Gateway to Reality: Why Presence Requires Containment.*

Jovanović, V. *Truth as Load: Why Human Truth Requires Structure.*

Jovanović, V. *Persona, Shadow, and Cheap Coherence: A Jungian Map of the Psyche in the Digital Age.*

Jovanović, V. *The Psychology of Evil Under Cheap Coherence: When "Something Is Wrong" Has No Language.*

Jovanović, V. *The Hallucination Regime: When Coherence Replaces Reality.*

Jovanović, V. *AI Ethics and the Personality Trap: Why "Helpful" Coherence Becomes Dangerous When It Isn't Answerable.*

Jovanović, V. *Insight Without Sovereignty: A Control-Theoretic Formalization of Intrusion (I).*

Contact / Licensing Inquiries: SI Hub

© 2026 Vladisav Jovanović. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).